Formaldehyde (HCHO) As a Hazardous Air Pollutant: Mapping Surface Air Concentrations from Satellite and Inferring Cancer Risks in the United States
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ABSTRACT: Formaldehyde (HCHO) is the most important carcinogen in outdoor air among the 187 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) identified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), not including ozone and particulate matter. However, surface observations of HCHO are sparse and the EPA monitoring network could be prone to positive interferences. Here we use 2005–2016 summertime HCHO column data from the OMI satellite instrument, validated with high-quality aircraft data and oversampled on a 5 × 5 km² grid, to map surface air HCHO concentrations across the contiguous U.S. OMI-derived summertime HCHO values are converted to annual averages using the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model. Results are in good agreement with high-quality summertime observations from urban sites (~2% bias, r = 0.95) but a factor of 1.9 lower than annual means from the EPA network. We thus estimate that up to 6600–12 500 people in the U.S. will develop cancer over their lifetimes by exposure to outdoor HCHO. The main HCHO source in the U.S. is atmospheric oxidation of biogenic isoprene, but the corresponding HCHO yield decreases as the concentration of nitrogen oxides (NOₓ = NO + NO₂) decreases. A GEOS-Chem sensitivity simulation indicates that HCHO levels would decrease by 20–30% in the absence of U.S. anthropogenic NOₓ emissions. Thus, NOₓ emission controls to improve ozone air quality have a significant cobenefit in reducing HCHO-related cancer risks.

INTRODUCTION

Formaldehyde (HCHO) in outdoor air is a known carcinogen. Exposure to a mean HCHO concentration of 1 μg m⁻³ (about 0.7 ppb at STP) over one’s lifetime will cause up to 13 people in a million to develop lung and nasopharyngeal cancer according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).¹ HCHO is one of 187 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) identified by the EPA¹ to cause cancer or other serious health impacts in ambient outside air. It is by far the most important HAP in terms of health risks, accounting for over 50% of the total HAPs-related cancer risks in the U.S.² The second most important HAP is benzene (~10%). Unlike most other HAPs, HCHO is not mainly associated with local anthropogenic hotspots but instead is widely present across the U.S. as a product of the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including in particular biogenic isoprene.³ The HAPs sampling network in the U.S. provides information about human health exposure near the monitor location but it is limited to urban/industrial sites.² Here we use 12 years of HCHO observations from the OMI satellite instrument⁴ with 5 × 5 km² spatial resolution enabled by an oversampling technique⁵ to map HCHO surface air concentrations over the contiguous U.S. and infer cancer risks on a national scale.

EPA reports HCHO as an ambient air toxic using data from 300–400 sites operated by states, local agencies, and tribes...
(SLTs network), including ~50 national air toxics trends sites (NATTS network). HCHO is collected by 2,4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine (DNPH) coated cartridges and then analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), known as the EPA compendium method TO-11A. HCHO measured using this method has potential interferences by ozone and NO2. High-quality HCHO measurements in surface air are available only from occasional field campaigns. Satellites provide a continuously operating high spatial resolution data set. HCHO satellite data over the U.S. were recently validated using aircraft observations. Although satellites only measure total HCHO columns (molecules per cm² of surface), the bulk of that column is in the boundary layer and surface concentrations can therefore be inferred.

HCHO columns have been observed continuously from space since GOME (1996–2003) and SCIAMACHY (2003–2012). Observations are presently available from OMI (2004–), GOME2 (2006–), OMPS (2011–) and GOME2B (2012–) with better data quality during summertime when signals are stronger. These satellite sensors scan the whole earth every 1–2 days, OMI provides the most suitable data for HCHO mapping due to its daily global coverage, long data record, and fine pixel resolution (13 × 24 km² at nadir). Its spatial resolution can be further refined by oversampling, as described below.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**EPA Surface HCHO Observations.** We obtain surface HCHO observations from the EPA SLTs network, available at https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data. EPA SLTs sites report 24 h average HCHO concentrations every 6 days. Here we select sites with full yearly coverage (at least 12 samples per quarter) for at least 9 years of the 2005–2016 period. 48 SLTs sites meet the above criteria and their locations are shown in the top panel of Figure 1. Also shown in Figure 1 are monthly mean surface HCHO concentrations averaged over those 48 sites. Surface HCHO peaks during summertime and this likely reflects higher biogenic VOC emissions.

**OMI Observations.** OMI is a UV/vis nadir solar backscatter spectrometer launched in 2004 on the Aura satellite in a polar sun-synchronous orbit. It observes the whole globe daily at 13:30 local time (LT). We use OMI HCHO Version 2.0 (Collection 3) retrievals from the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (OMI-SAO), available at http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/Aura/data-holdings/OMI/omhcho_v003.shtml. The data archive extends from 2005 to present. We select data for June–August 2005–2016 that (1) pass all the fitting and statistical quality checks (MainDataQualityFlag = 0), (2) have cloud fraction less than 0.3 and solar zenith angle less than 60°, and (3) are not affected by the instrumental “row anomaly” (http://projects.knmi.nl/omi/research/product/rowanomaly-background). The single-scene precision is 1 × 10¹⁶ molecules cm⁻², which corresponds to about 2 ppb in a 2 km deep well-mixed boundary layer. The precision can be improved by multisscene averaging. We only use the summertime data when HCHO columns are highest and detectable from space. HCHO columns in winter are generally below the detection limit due to (1) low biogenic VOC emissions, and (2) low OH concentrations delaying the oxidation of anthropogenic VOCs to HCHO and thus spatially smearing the HCHO signal.

Zhu et al. validated the OMI-SAO product with high-quality HCHO aircraft measurements from the SEAC4RS flight campaign over the Southeast U.S. in August–September 2013. Aircraft measurements during SEAC4RS were made in situ from 0.3 to 12 km altitude by two independent laser instruments: CAMS and ISAF. The two instruments were extremely consistent throughout the campaign with correlation coefficient of 0.99 for 1 min averages. Mean HCHO measured by ISAF was 10% higher than CAMS. The horizontal patterns from the satellite retrievals were highly correlated with the aircraft and consistent with a dominant source from biogenic isoprene. However, the retrievals were biased low by 37% relative to the CAMS aircraft data, which Zhu et al. attributed to errors in spectral fitting and in assumed surface reflectivity. Independent aircraft validation of OMI-SAO retrievals finds similar mean biases: −51% for the DC-3 campaign over the central U.S. in May–June, 2012, and −42% for the DISCOVER-AQ campaign including deployments in Baltimore (July 2007), central California (January 2013) and Houston (August 2013). There is no evident spatial or temporal pattern in the biases, implying that they may be removed by applying uniform correction factors. Here we apply a uniform correction factor of 1.59 to the OMI-SAO retrieval to correct the −37% bias relative to CAMS in SEAC4RS.

**Oversampling Method.** Relating concentrations to population exposure requires the highest spatial resolution possible. Temporal resolution is less critical since the HCHO cancer risk is based on a lifetime-averaged exposure. Here we oversample the OMI HCHO data to increase spatial resolution to 5 × 5 km² through temporal averaging. Oversampling takes
advantage of shifting pixel locations and sizes in day-to-day observations, to achieve a spatial resolution finer than pixel size as a temporal average. Oversampling of OMI observations to achieve an effective spatial resolution of a few km has been used previously on urban/regional scales for HCHO, SO2, and NO2. The common assumption in all these studies has been to view individual satellite observations as uniformly representative of a circle around the pixel center, with the circle radius optimized to balance smoothing and noise. This approach is somewhat arbitrary and computationally demanding.

Here we developed an improved and faster oversampling method enabling application over the entire contiguous U.S. Consider a satellite pixel p with HCHO column \( \Omega(p) \). The overlap area between the pixel p and oversampling grid cell i is \( A(p,i) \). Grid cell i collects \( N(i) \) overlapping satellite pixel data points over the oversampling period, from which an average column for that grid cell is calculated. We assume that the averaging weight for each individual satellite observation is proportional to the ratio of the overlap area \( A(p,i) \) to the pixel area \( S(p) \) and inversely proportional to the absolute error standard deviation \( \sigma(p) \) of that observation as reported in the OMI-SAO product. \( S(p) \) varies by a factor of 10 from \( \sim 300 \text{ km}^2 \) at nadir to \( \sim 3000 \text{ km}^2 \) at the outermost swath-angle. \( \sigma(p) \) can vary by a factor of 6 from \( 0.2 \times 10^16 \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \) for background conditions to \( 1.2 \times 10^16 \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \) in high-concentration regions. The area- and error-weighted average column for grid cell i is then derived as

\[
\hat{\Omega}(i) = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{N(i)} \frac{A(p,i)}{S(p)\sigma(p)} \Omega(p)}{\sum_{p=1}^{N(i)} \frac{A(p,i)}{S(p)\sigma(p)}}
\]

(1)

Besides being computationally fast, this method has the advantage that it fully uses and appropriately weighs the information from all individual satellite observations.

We applied our oversampling method to the OMI observations to produce a 12-year (June–August 2005–2016) summer average map of HCHO columns with 0.05° × 0.05° (\( \approx 5 \times 5 \text{ km}^2 \)) grid resolution. Figure 2 shows the result. Values are highest over the Southeast U.S. and are due to oxidation of biogenic isoprene. The Southeast data were previously validated with the SEAC4RS aircraft observations described above. The HCHO column peaks in the urban areas of Atlanta, Birmingham, and Houston, which could reflect industrial and vehicle sources. However, these peaks are relatively modest on top of the biogenic enhancement. More detailed inspection of the Atlanta maximum (bottom panel of Figure 2) suggests a source from ring road traffic. Summertime HCHO hotspots in the western U.S. are mostly due to fires as biogenic emissions in that part of the country are generally lower than in the eastern U.S. Satellite retrievals of HCHO columns in fire plumes are highly uncertain because of strong sensitivity to plume rise and to light extinction by the smoke particles.

Deriving Annual Mean Surface HCHO Concentrations.

We use the summer mean 0.05° × 0.05° satellite data for HCHO columns in combination with eq 2 to derive the annual mean surface concentrations required for cancer risk assessments:

\[
\hat{C}(i) = \hat{\Omega}(i) \gamma_1(i) \gamma_2(i) \gamma_3(i)
\]

(2)

Here \( \hat{C}(i) \) is the annual mean surface air concentration in 0.05° × 0.05° grid cell i, \( \hat{\Omega}(i) \) is the summer mean oversampled OMI column in that grid cell (Figure 2), \( \gamma_1(i) \) is the ratio of midday surface air to column concentrations in summer, \( \gamma_2(i) \) is the ratio of 24-h average to midday concentrations in summer, and \( \gamma_3(i) \) is the ratio of annual to summer mean concentrations. We use a GEOS-Chem chemical transport model to infer \( \gamma_1 \), and \( \gamma_3 \), and surface observations to infer \( \gamma_2 \). GEOS-Chem is driven by GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological fields produced at 0.25° × 0.3125° resolution by the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). It is applied here in a 2010 simulation with 2° × 2.5° horizontal resolution, and results for \( \gamma_1 \), \( \gamma_2 \), and \( \gamma_3 \) are assumed to apply to the 2005–2016 period (interannual variability in the model is small).

GEOS-Chem has been used previously in several studies to simulate HCHO over the U.S. including comparisons to satellite and in situ observations. Zhu et al. find that GEOS-Chem provides an unbiased simulation of SEAC4RS and SENEX aircraft observations in the boundary layer over the Southeast U.S. in summer, including horizontal patterns and mean vertical profiles. GEOS-Chem is biased by −32% compared to WINTER aircraft observations below 300 m over the Northeast U.S. in winter. Our own work shows a wintertime low bias in comparison with EPA SLTs sites (Figure 1 bottom panel), resulting in a mean −37% bias on an annual mean basis. As pointed out above, there may be positive interferences in the EPA data. In addition, the EPA sites are located near urban/industrial sources that the model may not be able to resolve particularly under wintertime stratified conditions. We will consider this bias in the error analysis.
In order to convert HCHO columns to surface concentrations (scaling factor $\gamma_1$), we sample daily surface HCHO concentrations and total columns from the June–August model output at the OMI overpass time (13:00–14:00 LT; midday here and elsewhere). Figure 3 shows the resulting summer midday mean surface concentrations. The spatial patterns in the OMI data are retained because the GEOS-Chem scaling factors are fairly uniform. Figure 4 compares this product with local measurements from summertime research field campaigns at several urban sites and in Houston (B. Rappengluck, unpublished data). Measurements for Houston are from the Moody Tower in August 2006 and 2010 (B. Rappengluck, unpublished data). Measurements for New York City are from Lin et al. Measurements for Atlanta, Philadelphia, and Nashville are from Dasgupta et al. Error bars represent ±1 standard deviation in the measurements.

To convert midday to 24 h averaged surface air HCHO concentrations (scaling factor $\gamma_2$), we use ground-site HCHO measurements from the three field campaigns where such high-quality data have been reported to our knowledge: (1) CalNex (May–June 2010, Pasadena, California), (2) SOAS (June–July 2013, Brent, Alabama), and (3) SLAQRS (August–September 2013, East St. Louis, Illinois). Figure 5 shows the diurnal variations in HCHO concentrations measured at those three sites. Pasadena and East St. Louis are urban, Brent is rural. HCHO is depleted during the night because of dry deposition. The 24 h average to midday value ratio is consistent among the three sites: 0.64 (Pasadena), 0.77 (Brent), 0.79 (East St. Louis). It is not clear that these differences reflect geographical specificity, therefore we apply a single scaling factor $\gamma_2 = 0.73$ throughout the U.S. to convert midday to 24 h average summer concentrations.

Finally, we use GEOS-Chem to convert these summertime 24 h averages to annual 24 h averages (scaling factor $\gamma_3$; Figure 6). Surface HCHO concentrations in GEOS-Chem have strong seasonal variations with maxima in July and August. Figure 6 shows the ratios of annual to summer (JJA) mean HCHO concentrations in surface air. Values are from the GEOS-Chem model.
seasonal variations driven mostly by biogenic emissions, with annual to summertime average ratios of 0.4–0.5 in the Southeast U.S. and 0.6–0.7 in the West. GEOS-Chem may underestimate wintertime concentrations, as pointed out above, in which case $\gamma_t$ would be biased low. This will be accounted for in error analysis of the results.

**Estimating Cancer Risks.** EPA uses the inhalation unit risk estimate (URE) to quantify the cancer risks of HCHO and other HAPs.1 The URE represents the upper bound for the increased cancer risk from inhalation exposure to an air concentration of $1 \mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$ over an individual’s lifetime. Based on the upper confidence limit of the fitted dose–response curve, the inhalation URE for HCHO is estimated to be $1.3 \times 10^{-5} \ (\mu g \text{ m}^{-3})^{-1}$ by the Agency’s Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS).1,2 This means that individuals exposed to a mean HCHO concentration of $1 \mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$ (about 0.7 ppb) have a chance of up to 13 in a million to develop cancer over their lifetime. Risk is assumed to increase linearly with HCHO concentration.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Annual Mean Surface HCHO Concentrations.** Figure 7 shows the annual mean HCHO concentrations in surface air across the U.S. as derived from eq 2. We find that these OMI-derived values are on average 47% lower than at the EPA SLTs sites of Figure 1. As discussed above, the difference could be due to (1) excessive GEOS-Chem seasonal scaling in converting OMI summer to annual means, (2) positive artifacts in the EPA data, (3) local influences in the EPA data not resolved by OMI. We superimpose on Figure 7 the EPA 2005–2016 data (same data as Figure 1), decreased by a factor of 1.9 to account for the mean bias relative to the OMI-derived data (see text).

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** OMI-derived annual mean HCHO concentrations in surface air and associated cancer risks. Cancer risk is related to surface HCHO concentration using the upper-bound EPA inhalation unit risk estimate (URE) of $1.3 \times 10^{-5} \ (\mu g \text{ m}^{-3})^{-1}$. The EPA SLTs network data of Figure 1 are superimposed as circles, decreased by a factor of 1.9 to account for the mean bias relative to the OMI-derived data (see text).
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