Improved algorithm for MODIS satellite retrievals of aerosol optical thickness over land in dusty atmosphere: Implications for air quality monitoring in China
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Abstract

A new algorithm, using MODIS satellite reflectance and aerosol single scattering properties simulated from a chemistry transport model (GEOS-Chem), is developed to retrieve aerosol optical thickness (AOT) over land in China during the spring dust season. The algorithm first uses a “dynamic lower envelope” approach to sample the MODIS dark-pixel reflectance data in low AOT conditions, to derive the local surface visible (0.65 μm)/near-infrared (NIR, 2.1 μm) reflectance ratio. Joint retrievals of AOT at 0.65 μm and surface reflectance at 2.1 μm are then performed, based on the time, location, and spectral-dependent single scattering properties of the dusty atmosphere as simulated by the GEOS-Chem. A linearized vector radiative transfer model (VLIDORT) that simultaneously computes the top-of-atmosphere reflectance and its Jacobian with respect to AOT, is used in the forward component of the inversion of MODIS reflectance to AOT. Comparison of retrieved AOT results in April and May of 2008 with AERONET observations shows a strong correlation (R = 0.83), with small bias (0.01), and small RMSE (0.17); the figures are a substantial improvement over corresponding values obtained with the MODIS Collection 5 AOT algorithm for the same study region and time period. The surface PM$_{10}$ (particulate matter with diameter less than 10 μm) concentrations derived using this improved AOT retrieval show better agreement with ground observations than those derived from GEOS-Chem simulations alone, or those inferred from the MODIS Collection 5 AOT. This study underscores the value of using satellite reflectance to improve the air quality modeling and monitoring.
1. Introduction

Atmospheric aerosol is a major concern for climate prediction and public health, but records of
global aerosol distributions have only become available in the last decade from dedicated
satellite observations such as MODIS [Levy et al., 2007] and MISR [Kahn et al., 2005]. Despite
much progress made recently in using satellite data to derive surface aerosol concentration over
land (see Hoff and Christopher [2009] for a review), several challenges exist. The radiance or
reflectance data collected by currently operational passive remote sensing instruments for aerosol
retrieval are mostly at the atmospheric window channels in the visible spectrum. Therefore, they
offer little information on aerosol vertical distribution beyond the retrieval of columnar
properties such as aerosol optical thickness (AOT) [Wang et al., 2003a]. In the near UV
spectrum, the slope of the reflectance is regulated by height-dependent Rayleigh scattering and
aerosol absorption, and this relationship can be used to estimate the centroid height of absorbing
aerosols [Torres et al., 2007]. However, such an algorithm requires a priori information on
aerosol single scattering albedo to infer AOT, and it lacks sensitivity to changes in lower
tropospheric aerosol mass. In contrast, active satellite remote sensing techniques (such as
CALIPSO) can provide vertical profiles of aerosol extinction, but when compared to the aerosol
plume height retrieved from MISR, their daily observation offer very limited converge over the
globe because of narrow sensor ground track (~100 meters) [Kahn et al., 2007].

With very few observational constraints on aerosol vertical distribution, studies to date have had
to use chemistry transport models (CTMs) to interpret the 2D satellite information of either AOT
or reflectance into the 3D aerosol fields [Al-Saadi et al., 2005]. A common practice so far has
been that the simulated aerosol mass at each vertical layer in a model grid box is updated by a
scale factor that is the ratio of spatiotemporally collocated AOT values from the model and the
satellite retrieval algorithm [Wang et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2004]. Resultant surface aerosol concentrations generally show better agreement with ground-based counterparts than those obtained without applying the scale factor, highlighting the value of the satellite AOT for the remote sensing of air quality [Hoff and Christopher, 2009].

Though simple in concept, the above approach has one “inconvenient truth”. The set of aerosol single scattering properties used in the CTM to compute the AOT is inconsistent with the corresponding set employed in the satellite retrieval algorithm. This inconsistency not only adds difficulty and confusion to resolving discrepancies between modeled and satellite-retrieved AOT, but also makes CTM simulations constrained by the satellite-based aerosol products unable to reproduce reflectance data that the satellites actually measure. To overcome these issues, recent studies have started using satellite reflectance data to constrain the CTM simulations. The principle here is that aerosol mass fields in a CTM are iteratively updated (retrieved) until the reflectance computed from the CTM agrees with the satellite-measured reflectance, while the single scattering properties of each aerosol species are kept as generic (constant) if not explicitly simulated in the CTM [Weaver et al., 2007; Drury et al., 2008].

However, computations of top-of-atmosphere (TOA) reflectance require the input of the surface reflectance in addition to the CTM-simulated aerosol microphysics. For visibly dark surfaces such as heavily vegetated areas and forests, surface reflectance at 0.65 μm ($\rho_{0.65}^{SFC}$) can be approximated from the reflectance at 2.1 μm ($\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$) with the relationship $\rho_{0.65}^{SFC} = \xi \rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$, where $\xi$ primarily varies with phenology, canopy type, soil type and wetness at the surface but generally centers ~0.55 [Levy et al., 2007]. This relationship has been used in Weaver et al. [2007] and
Drury et al. [2008] to derive $\rho_{0.65}^{SFC}$ from the TOA reflectance at 2.1 $\mu$m (hereafter $\rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}$), assuming that the atmosphere is transparent at 2.1 $\mu$m. Such assumption $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC} = \rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}$ may be valid in conditions dominated by fine-mode aerosol. However, it is not true for dusty conditions, where large particles (with Angstrom exponent close to zero) can produce considerable extinction at 2.1 $\mu$m. In this case, an atmospheric correction is needed for $\rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}$ before using it to estimate $\rho_{0.65}^{SFC}$.

In this paper, we develop an algorithm using MODIS reflectances and CTM simulations to perform AOT retrieval in dusty atmosphere. This study refines the method described in Drury et al. [2008] that demonstrated improved retrieval of AOT from MODIS over the U.S.A. in non-dusty conditions, and here we develop a new approach to minimize the retrieval uncertainties in dusty conditions for which atmosphere is not transparent at 2.1\,$\mu$m. We apply our approach over the China during the spring dust season. We demonstrate the utility of this improved retrieval method for air quality monitoring in China, by comparing our results with the operational MODIS AOT product and other in situ data.

2. Data sources and atmospheric models

We use the MODIS Level 2 Collection 5 aerosol product [Levy et al., 2007] at 10 $\times$ 10 km$^2$ (nadir) resolution from the Terra and Aqua satellites over the continental China during April and May 2008. The data consists of cloud-screened TOA reflectance at 0.65 $\mu$m and 2.1 $\mu$m over dark surface pixels (hereafter $\rho_{0.65}^{MDTOA}$ and $\rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}$, respectively), and the corresponding AOT retrieved from the MODIS Collection 5 operational algorithm (hereafter $\tau_{0.65}^{MCDS}$). Other observations we used include the AOT data (level 2) collected by AERONET stations in China.
[Holben et al., 1998], and the daily-averaged concentration of PM$_{10}$ (particulate matter with diameter less than 10 µm) collected by the Chinese EPA observation network at ~80 major cities (http://datacenter.mep.gov.cn/).

We simulate the 3D aerosol fields for the study time and location using a nested-grid version (v8.1.1) of the GEOS-Chem CTM [Park et al., 2004; Fairlie et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009], driven by the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS-5) assimilated meteorological fields at 0.5° ×0.667° resolution. Detailed descriptions of the GEOS-Chem aerosol emission inventories and simulation evaluations are provided in Park et al. [2004] and Wang et al. [2008] for sulfur and ammonia, in Fairlie et al. [2007] for dust, and in Chen et al. [2009] for biomass burning aerosols and recent updates of emission inventories over the China region. The single scattering properties for each aerosol species (including dust, sulfate, nitrate, organic and black carbon aerosols) in GEOS-Chem are taken from [Koepke et al., 1997], except that the geometric standard deviation for all non-dust aerosols are set to 1.6 (instead of 2.0) [Drury et al., 2010]. An external mixture is assumed to sum over aerosol types to generate the AOT, the ensemble single scattering albedo, and the ensemble scattering phase function at each vertical model layer [Drury et al., 2008]. All model outputs are saved at 3-hour intervals and interpolated to the satellite overpass time.

A linearized vector discrete ordinate radiative transfer (VLIDORT) model [Spurr, 2008] is used to compute the TOA reflectance and the Jacobian of this reflectance with respect to AOT for a given atmospheric column defined by the following inputs: (1) molecular (Rayleigh) and aerosol optical thickness, ensemble single scattering albedo, and ensemble phase functions at each
vertical model layer, (2) spectral response functions of the MODIS instrument, (3) Sun-Earth-satellite geometry, and (4) surface reflectance estimated using the procedure described in Section 3.

3. Retrieval Algorithm

The retrieval algorithm is an improvement over the study by Drury et al. [2008], and its flow chart is shown in Figure 1. In low visible-AOT conditions where $\rho_{SFC}^{2.1} = \rho_{MDTOA}^{2.1}$ can be assumed, Drury et al. [2008] showed that the cloud-free $\rho_{MDTOA}^{0.65}$ (after scaling into the nadir) can be simplified into two terms:

$$\rho_{MDTOA}^{0.65} \mu_0 \mu = \rho_{ATM}^{0.65} + \xi \rho_{MDTOA}^{2.1} \mu_0 \mu T_{0.65}(\mu_0) T_{0.65}(\mu)$$ (1)

where the first term on the right is the atmospheric contribution due to Rayleigh and aerosol scattering, and the second term on the right is the surface contribution. $T_{0.65}(\mu_0)$ and $T_{0.65}(\mu)$ are respectively the downward and upward atmospheric transmission functions for the cosine of solar zenith angle, $\mu_0$, and the cosine of satellite viewing zenith angle, $\mu$. The eq.(1) suggests that a linear regression for the lower envelope of $\rho_{MDTOA}^{0.65} \mu_0 \mu$ and $\rho_{MDTOA}^{2.1} \mu_0 \mu T_{0.65}(\mu_0) T_{0.65}(\mu)$ data pairs can be performed to derive $\xi$ (the regression slope). In practice, we approximate $T$ based upon the Rayleigh optical thickness [Drury et al., 2008], and we create a sample of lower-envelope data pairs for each day and each GEOS-Chem grid box by gathering MODIS reflectance data over the 40-day period centered on that day over the same grid box. As shown in section 4.2, we varied the length of this period used to derive $\xi$, and found that the 40 days was the optimal time interval. To ensure smoothness and to capture gradual changes of $\xi$ due to the evolution of surface greenness over the two-month study period, we take a 5-day running average of the time series of $\xi$ before application in the retrieval algorithm. We call the above
procedure for deriving $\xi$ the “dynamic lower envelope” approach, as opposed to the “static lower envelope” approach in Drury et al [2008] where $\xi$ was kept constant with time.

The computation of TOA reflectance at 0.65 $\mu$m (hereafter $\rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA}$) starts with the estimate of $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$ from $\rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}$, so that $\rho_{0.65}^{SFC}$ can be derived ($= \xi \rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$) as an input for VLIDORT (Figure 1).

The initial estimate of $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$ is made by using GEOS-Chem simulations of the timing and location of dust events to conduct a first-order single scattering correction of $\rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}$:

$$\rho_{2.1}^{SFC} = \rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA} - \omega_{2.1}^{GC} P_{2.1}^{GC} (\Theta) \frac{1}{4(\mu + \mu_0)} \left[ 1 - e^{-\tau_{2.1}^{GC} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right)} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\tau_{2.1}^{GC}$, $\omega_{2.1}^{GC}$ and $P_{2.1}^{GC}$ are the GEOS-Chem simulated AOT, aerosol single scattering albedo and phase function respectively at 2.1 $\mu$m; $\Theta$ is the scattering angle between the incident sun light and the reflected light viewed by the satellite. To account for the differences in the spatial resolution between GEOS-chem and MODIS data, we followed Drury et al. [2008]; at each grid-box and each day, the GEOS-chem simulated aerosol single scattering properties are interpolated to the satellite overpass time and then applied in the retrieval to all the MODIS pixels in that grid box. This is appropriate because the GEOS-chem’s spatial resolution is $0.5^\circ \times 0.67^\circ$ which is sufficiently fine to capture typical spatial scale (40-400 km) for the variation of aerosol optical properties [Anderson et al., 2003].

From the above first guess of $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$, the retrieval steps are as follows (Figure 1):

1. Initialize the retrieved AOTs at 0.65 $\mu$m and 2.1 $\mu$m (hereafter $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ and $\tau_{2.1}^{MDGC}$, MDGC denotes MODIS plus GEOS-Chem) to the CTM values, $\tau_{0.65}^{GC}$ and $\tau_{2.1}^{GC}$. 
(2) Compute $\rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA}$ and its Jacobian with respect to AOT ($\partial \rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA} / \partial \tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$) using VLIDORT with inputs of $\rho_{0.65}^{SFC}$, $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$, and the CTM ensemble aerosol scattering properties at 0.65 μm.

(3) If $|\rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA} - \rho_{0.65}^{MDTOA}|$ is less than 0.001, go to step (5). Otherwise, update the retrieved AOT:

$$
\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC} = \tau_{0.65}^{MDGC} + (\rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA} - \rho_{0.65}^{MDTOA}) \partial \tau_{0.65}^{MDGC} / \partial \rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA}.
$$

(4) Repeat (2) and (3) until $|\rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA} - \rho_{0.65}^{MDTOA}|$ is less than 0.001; the end of this step essentially ensures the radiative closure at 0.65 μm.

(5) Scale the mass of aerosol species at each layer by a factor of $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC} / \tau_{0.65}^{GCTOA}$ to recompute $\tau_{2.1}^{MDGC}$, and then calculate the TOA reflectance at 2.1 μm (hereafter $\rho_{2.1}^{GCTOA}$) using VLIDORT with inputs of $\tau_{2.1}^{MDGC}$, $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$, and GEOS-Chem aerosol scattering properties at 2.1 μm.

(6) If $|\rho_{2.1}^{GCTOA} - \rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}|$ is less than 0.001, the retrieval is successful and the algorithm stops. Otherwise, update $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC} = \rho_{2.1}^{SFC} + \rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA} - \rho_{2.1}^{GCTOA}$.

(7) Repeat steps (1) to (6) until the pair of $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$ and $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ values is found such that $\rho_{2.1}^{GCTOA}$ and $\rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA}$ both have less than 0.001 (absolute) difference with $\rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA}$ and $\rho_{0.65}^{MDTOA}$, respectively. The threshold of 0.001 follows that of Drury et al. [2008].

The above retrieval approach is iterative, in contrast to the traditional look-up table approach [Levy et al., 2007]. The iterations are guided by the VLIDORT output of Jacobians of AOT with respect to reflectance. Although the relationship between AOT and reflectance is non-linear, it can be regarded as piecewise linear. In this case, the VLIDORT-calculated Jacobian of AOT with respect to reflectance (such as $\partial \tau_{0.65}^{MDGC} / \partial \rho_{0.65}^{GCTOA}$) provides the relative direction (an increase or decrease) and magnitude needed for the adjustment of retrieved AOT in each
iteration (step 4) so that the modeled reflectance rapidly approaches to the satellite-measured reflectance.

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Results

Regressions used for the deriving $\xi$ in the “dynamic lower envelope” approach are found to be statistically significant at all times, with correlation coefficient generally larger than 0.95. The temporal variation of $\xi$ in the same grid box over the two-month study period is generally less than $\pm 5\%$. An example is shown in Figure 2a for one of the AERONET stations in China (Xinglong), where the regression for $\xi$ on April 1 explains 99% of data variability in the lower envelope of the visible vs. NIR reflectance scatter plot (the inset of Figure 2a), and $\xi$ changes from 0.55 in early April to around 0.64 at the end of May. As with surface phenology, such changes of $\xi$ through time are not monochromatic (Figure 2a); this is consistent with the findings of Levy et al. [2008], who showed that $\xi$ generally increases with greenness (or vegetation index). The map of derived $\xi$ averaged over two months (Figure 2b) shows larger $\xi$ ($> 0.55$) in heavily vegetated or cultivated regions in the east, and smaller values for grasslands and semi-arid regions in the west; again, this is consistent with results in Levy et al. [2007] and Drury et al. [2008]. Unexpectedly large $\xi$ values (close to 0.8) associated with less statistically significant regression are found in a few GEOS-Chem grid boxes that contain water bodies (coastline, lakes, and seasonal agriculture irrigation in the southeastern China) or steep topography around the Sichuan basin ($30^\circ$N, $105^\circ$E); this is consistent with Kaufman et al. [2002].
The map of 2-month averages of $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ shows larger AOT values in densely-populated coastal regions and in the central industrialized area in China (Figure 2c). Although the spatial distribution is similar to that of $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$, $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ retrieved in this study is quantitatively smaller than $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ (Figure 2d). In comparison with averages of AOT at 6 AERONET stations in China (color circles in Figure 2 c-d), $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ values clearly show a large positive bias, while virtually no systematic bias exists for $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$.

To evaluate $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$, spatially-averaged values of this quantity over a 50-km$^2$ area centered at an AERONET station is compared against temporally-averaged AOT measured at that station ($\tau_{0.67}^{AERT}$) within 30 minutes of the satellite overpass time. In total, 37 pairs of $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ and $\tau_{0.67}^{AERT}$ were found during the 2-month time period. As shown in Figure 3 a-b, the correlation coefficient (R) between $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ and $\tau_{0.67}^{AERT}$ is 0.83, which is similar to its counterpart between $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ and $\tau_{0.67}^{AERT}$ (R = 0.81). However, regarding $\tau_{0.67}^{AERT}$ as ground truth, the bias (0.01) and RMSE (0.17) for $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ are both significantly smaller than their respective counterparts (0.12 and 0.23) for $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$, quantifying the improvement in our AOT retrieval algorithm.

To analyze potential sources of retrieval error, the comparison data pairs in Figure 3 a-b are plotted using a color-coding based upon the corresponding $\tau_{2.1}^{MDGC}$ retrieved in this study. Interestingly, significant overestimations (>0.2 in average) in $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ appear to occur almost exclusively during the heavy dust conditions with $\tau_{2.1}^{MDGC} > 0.2$, suggesting two possible error sources in the MODIS C5 aerosol retrieval algorithm: (a) $\rho_{0.65}^{SC}$ is low, and (b) the single scattering albedo of coarse-mode aerosols (0.95 at 0.65μm) is low. Given that aerosol scattering properties in the MODIS C5 algorithm are based upon a climatology derived from AERONET...
retrievals, the possibility (a) is more likely. This conjecture is supported by the fact that negative
AOT retrievals (up to -0.05) are allowed in MODIS C5 algorithm, suggesting its need to improve
the characterization of surface reflectance. In contrast, while $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ show a much lower positive
bias during dusty conditions, it has a small negative bias (-0.07 in average) in non-dusty
conditions. Sensitivity analysis of other retrieval error sources such as dust non-sphericity and
single scattering albedo is our next-step research (see discussion in section 4.2).

To check further the robustness of the above validation statistics, we also compared
the time averages of retrieved AOTs with AERONET observations (Figure 3 c-d). Statistics were
computed as a function of the number of days used in the average, for AOTs from three
retrievals: $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$, $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$, and $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ without applying the atmospheric correction in 2.1 µm for
deriving $\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$ (hereafter $\tau_{0.65,noAC}^{MDGC}$). Overall, for a given number of days used in the average,
RMSEs of $\tau_{0.65,noAC}^{MDGC}$ and $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ are nearly equal; but both are considerably smaller than that of
$\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ (Figure 3c). Furthermore, the decreasing trend of RMSE with time (from 1 to 9 days) is
much smaller for $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ (0.17 to 0.06) than that of $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ (0.23 to 0.15). In contrast, the biases
in all three retrievals show little change with time, indicating that they are systematic. A large
positive bias (0.12) is evident in $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$, in comparison with biases of 0.01 in $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ and -0.05 in
$\tau_{0.65,noAC}^{MDGC}$. In addition, the improved quality of $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ also shows up in the regression statistics
(Figure 3d): (a) the slope of the regression line (1.4-1.5) for $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ (with respect to $\tau_{0.67}^{AEERT}$)
shows a larger deviation from unity than that for $\tau_{0.65,noAC}^{MDGC}$ and $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ (both around 1.1-1.2),
regardless of the averaging time; (b) when the averaging time increases, the intercepts for both
$\tau_{0.65,noAC}^{MDGC}$ and $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ decrease from -0.1 to -0.04, and thus move closer to zero than that for
$\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ (increases from -0.02 to -0.1). The $R$ values for a given averaging time for $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ are
either equivalent to or slightly better than those for $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$, likely reflecting the co-variation of the systematic positive AOT bias with $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$. Overall, the statistics of comparing time averages supports the finding in the instantaneous comparison, indicating a better agreement of $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$ (than $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$) with $\tau_{0.67}^{AERT}$.

The impact of improved AOT retrieval on surface PM concentration was studied by comparing PM$_{10}$ data collected by the Chinese EPA at 62 cities in the study domain with those estimated from three different methods based upon: (i) GEOS-Chem simulation only (hereafter $PM^{GC}$), (ii) GEOS-Chem simulation scaled by $\tau_{0.65}^{MDC5}$ (hereafter $PM^{MDC5}$), and (iii) GEOS-Chem simulations constrained by MODIS reflectance (i.e., scaled by $\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC}$, hereafter $PM^{MDGC}$). While many cities in China have more than one site to routinely measure PM$_{10}$ mass concentration, only the 24-hr mean PM$_{10}$ air quality index averaged over each city is available to this study. We convert the index to the PM$_{10}$ mass concentration based upon the Chinese national ambient air quality standards, admitting that the large yet hard-to-be quantified errors can occur in using this dataset to represent the daily mean PM$_{10}$ in each city (hereafter $PM^{CEPA}$). Hence, only the comparison of 2-month averages for each city is conducted here. Geographically (Figure 4 a-c), $PM^{MDGC}$ clearly shows a better agreement than $PM^{MDC5}$ and $PM^{GC}$ with $PM^{CEPA}$ in China at 33°N – 45°N zone where most dust particles from Gobi and Taklamakan deserts are transported. Quantitatively (Figure 3 d-f), $PM^{MDGC}$, $PM^{MDC5}$, and $PM^{CEPA}$ are found to have similar correlations with the $PM^{CEPA}$, but $PM^{MDGC}$ shows smaller negative bias (~0.5 µgm$^{-3}$) and RMSE (46.6 µgm$^{-3}$) than $PM^{MDC5}$ (bias 56.7, RMSE 86.7), and $PM^{GC}$ (bias ~37.5, RMSE 53.1). The large negative bias in GEOS-Chem simulation (presumably due to the uncertainty in emissions used in the model) is largely compensated when using the constraint of MODIS reflectance.
4.2 Discussion

In the results above, the derivation of the surface visible/near-infrared reflectance ratio for a particular day uses the MODIS data over the 40-day period centered on that day. We have used MODIS data compilations for various values of the time window length (hereafter $L$) in our “dynamical envelope approach”, and we found that a 40-day time period is optimal to meet the following two criteria. First, $L$ should be long enough so that sufficient low-aerosol scenarios are present to ensure that the linear regression for deriving $\xi$ is statistically significant. Second, $L$ should be short enough and should center on the day for which $\xi$ is to be derived in order that the temporal variation of $\xi$ from the daily derivation can capture the gradual change of surface greenness. The derived value of $\xi$ will not be temporally representative and indeed will have a time lag (or advance) to describe the evolution of surface phenological evolution if we use only the MODIS data before (or after) the day for which $\xi$ is derived.

In Figure 5, we give one example showing the variation of $\xi$ with different $L$ during April 1 – May 31, 2008 over the Xionglong AERONET station. It is clear that the regression for deriving $\xi$ becomes more statistically robust (values of linear regression coefficient $R$ increases) as $L$ changes from 20 to 50 days. However, when $L$ is 40 days or larger, the $R$ values are all greater than 0.95. On any particular day, the changes of $R$ and $\xi$ are both small (<±3%) as $L$ increases from 40 days to 50 days, thus indicating that 40 days is an optimal duration for using the lower envelope approach to derive $\xi$. Knapp et al. [2005] applied the composite clear reflectance technique (that also searches to find low aerosol conditions) to the geostationary satellite data to estimate the surface reflectance, and they found the optimal time period for their technique is 20
days for both spring and summer. Given that the MODIS sensor is installed on polar-orbiting
satellites with revisit frequency only once per day at most, our time period of 40 days appears
consistent with that used by *Knapp et al.* [2005].

Another issue that may affect our retrieval and deserves discussion is the assumption of spherical
dust particles and Lorenz-Mie scattering calculations of phase functions. Previous studies have
shown that non-spherical dust particles have very different phase functions at various
backscattering angles when compared to those for spherical particles [*Mishchenko et al.*, 1995].
Further investigation of the effect of particle shape on our retrieval accuracy is needed; this will
be a major focus in our next study because of the following reasons:

(a) For regions downwind of dust sources (such as over Eastern China in the dusty season),
the phase functions of spherical and non-spherical particles are both important for the
accurate retrieval of AOT [*Wang et al.*, 2003]. Unfortunately, MODIS lacks the multi-
angle observations that MISR possesses in order to separate AOT contributions from
spherical particle extinction as opposed to non-spherical particle extinction
[*Kalashnikova et al.*, 2005].

(b) While GEOS-chem offers information on the relative mass abundance of dust and fine-
mode particles, challenges remain in characterizing the spatio-temporal variability of the
particle shape with respect to the particle size of non-spherical particles. Admittedly, the
phase function of spheroids or other symmetric convex particles can be computed with
existing techniques (such as T-matrix, [*Mishchenko and Travis*, 1998]) for high accuracy
and used in the satellite retrieval algorithm. However, not all dust particles are spheroidal;
the phase function differences for spherical particles and concave aggregated non-
spherical particles is an active area for research \[Mishchenko et al., 2000]\.

(c) We show in Figure 6a that our AOT retrievals in either weak \(\tau_{0.65}^{MDGC} < 0.3\) or strong dusty conditions have no systematic bias with respect to the scattering angle especially at 150° where a transition from positive to negative bias would be expected if dust particles are oblate spheroids but the retrieval uses the spherical-particle phase function \[Wang et al., 2003]\]. Indeed, the MODIS C5 AOT retrievals show a similar retrieval error pattern with the scattering angle to our AOT retrievals, except the former have a clear positive bias (Figure 6b). We argue that the lack of a distinct effect due to dust non-spherical particle phase functions on our retrieval (as shown in Figure 6) appears consistent with the findings by \Li and Osada [2007], who showed that only spherical dust particles are persistent downwind of desert regions, because of the preferential settling of elongated dust particles.

5. Summary

This study reinforces previous studies by \Weaver et al. [2007] and \Drury et al. [2008] on the use the satellite reflectances to constrain CTM simulation of aerosols, and benefits from the use of cloud-screened reflectance over dark pixels saved in the MODIS C5 aerosol product \[Levy et al., 2007]\]. However, our algorithm differs from previous approaches in the following aspects: (1) the use of a “dynamic lower envelope” regression to derive locally the surface visible/NIR reflectance ratios on a daily basis, (2) the removal of atmospheric dust effects when applying the NIR reflectance at the top of atmosphere to derive surface reflectance, and (3) the use of the Jacobian of reflectance with respect to aerosol optical thickness to update the retrieved AOT and to fasten the convergence between the modeled and MODIS measured reflectance. Because our
dynamical lower envelope approach for deriving surface visible/near-infrared reflectance ratio uses the MODIS data over a 40-day period centered on the day of the retrieval, our algorithm is not suitable for operational purposes. However, the promising results shown in this study certainly make the approach an attractive option for re-analysis of AOT and surface aerosol concentration, particularly at a regional scale.

Key assumptions in our approach of retrieving aerosol optical thickness and surface aerosol concentration are: (1) GEOS-chem simulated vertical shape of mass mixing ratio of different aerosol component are kept constant in the retrieval; (2) a generic database of the single scattering properties of each aerosol component [Koepke et al., 1997] with update from Drury et al. [2010] is used in the retrieval; (3) the shape of surface bidirectional reflectance in the visible and near infrared are assumed to be the similar and so that the relationship between visible and near infrared surface reflectance is linear; this assumption has been used in various aerosol retrieval algorithms including those designed for MODIS [Levy et al., 2007; Lyapustin and Wang, 2009] and MISR [Diner et al., 2005], although the methods used in these studies for deriving the ratio between surface visible and near infrared reflectance are different from our “dynamical lower envelope” approach. Hence, the challenges for next steps include the retrieval of aerosol size and aerosol composition from the multi-spectral multi-angle satellite data, as well as the improved estimate of surface reflectance. However, the large RMSEs in all three estimates of PM$_{10}$ (using model only, model with MODIS C5 AOT, and model with MODIS reflectance) shown in Figure 3 d-f certainly highlights the critical need of constraint for aerosol profile, at least for the GEOS-chem modeling in the region of this study.
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Figure Captions.

Figure 1. The flow chart of the retrieval algorithm. See section 3 in the text for detailed description.

Figure 2. (a) Time series of the derived ratio ($\xi$) between surface visible reflectance ($\rho_{0.65}^{SFC}$) and near infrared reflectance ($\rho_{2.1}^{SFC}$) for a GEOS-Chem grid box where an AERONET station, Xinglong, is located (the black circle in (b)). The inset shows the scatter plot of scaled top-of-atmosphere reflectances at 0.65 μm ($\rho_{0.65}^{MDTOA} \mu_{0}\mu$) and at 2.13 μm ($\rho_{2.1}^{MDTOA} \mu_{0}\mu T_{0.65}(\mu) T_{0.65}(\mu)$) collected by MODIS over a 40-day period centered on 1 April 2008, for that GEOS-Chem grid box. The red pluses identify the subset of reflectance data that constitutes the lower envelope of pairs used in the regression to find the slope $\xi$ (see text for details). (b) Map of averaged $\xi$ in April – May 2008 for each GEOS-Chem grid box in the area of this study. (c) and (d) show maps of averaged AOTs (in April – May 2008) at 0.65 μm retrieved by this study and by the MODIS Collect 5 algorithm. The corresponding 2-month averages of AOT at 0.67 μm collected at 6 AERONET stations are color-coded as circles in (c) and (d).

Figure 3. (a) and (b) respectively show the comparison of spatiotemporally-collocated AOTs at 0.65 μm retrieved in this work and from MODIS Collection 5 with corresponding values from AERONET; symbols are color-coded based upon the AOT at 2.1 μm retrieved in this work (see text for details). Also shown are comparison statistics for a total number of data comparison pairs (N): mean bias, root mean square error (RMSE), correlation coefficient (R), and the slope and intercept of the linear regression line (in solid black). The one-to-one fit line is shown in dotted black. (c) and (d) show the statistics of comparison of AOTs from AERONET with those
retrieved by different algorithms for various averaging times from 1 to 9 days. Results from our algorithm with and without the atmospheric correction (AC) at 2.1 μm are plotted as black plus symbols and blue diamond symbols respectively, as opposed to the MODIS Collection 5 values (red squares). The comparison statistics include RMSE, bias, R, the slope, and the intercept of the linear regression line.

Figure 4. Distribution of averages of surface PM$_{10}$ mass concentration in April – May 2008, estimated respectively from (a) GEOS-Chem simulation, (b) GEOS-Chem simulation constrained by the MODIS Collection 5 AOT product, and (c) GEOS-Chem simulation constrained by MODIS reflectance (this work). Circles denote locations of 62 Chinese EPA stations, and are color-coded based upon their corresponding averages of measured PM$_{10}$ mass concentration in April – May 2008. (d), (e), and (f) are respectively similar as (a), (b), and (c) but show the scattering plot of the 2-month averages of measured and estimated PM$_{10}$ mass concentration at the 62 cities. Also shown in (d)-(f) are comparison statistics for a total number of data comparison pairs (N): mean bias, root mean square error (RMSE), correlation coefficient (R), and the slope and intercept of the linear regression line (in solid black). The one-to-one fit line is shown in dotted black.

Figure 5. Top panel: derived surface reflectance ratio ($\xi$) between the 0.65 μm and 2.1 μm at the AERONET station Xionglong during April 1 – May 31, 2008; the derivation is conducted by using “dynamical lower envelope” approach in which the regression is made based upon the MODIS data collected in 20 days (black line), 30 days (red line), 40 days (green line), or 50 days (blue line) center on the day for which $\xi$ is derived. Bottom panel: same as the top panel but
shows the regression coefficient $R$. See the text in section 3 and the caption for Figure 2(a) for details.

Figure 6. (a) Relative retrieval error of aerosol optical thickness at 0.65\(\mu\)m in this work ($AOT_{\text{this-work}}$) with respect to the AOT measured from AEROENT ($AOT_{\text{AEROENT}}$) as a function of scattering angles. (b) same as (a) but for retrieval error of AOT from MODIS C5 ($AOT_{\text{modis-c5}}$). Solid and open circles represent the data points with $AOT_{\text{AEROENT}}$ larger and smaller than 0.3, respectively.
Successful retrieval of $\tau_{0.65}$, surface reflectance, and updated GEOS-Chem aerosol mass concentration when both judgments are simultaneously “YES”. 
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